| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ~~~~ |
|---|---|---|--------------------|
| Notice of Allowability | 09/654,165 | NAKANISHI, ITSUTO | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Emmanuel S, Luk | 1722 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is standard MPEP 1308. | n this application. If not included | THIS initiative |
| 1. This communication is responsive to the after final amends | <u>ment dated 1/3/2004</u> . | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-35, 38-40 (renumbered as claim (s) | <u>aims 1-38)</u> . | | |
| 3. The drawings filed on <u>12/18/2003</u> are accepted by the Exa | miner. | | |
| 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: | | or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc | cuments have been received | d in this national stage application from | า the |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | |
| 5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority ur reference was included in the first sentence of the specifica | tion or in an Application Dat | a Sheet, 37 CFR 1,78, | fic |
| (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional a | pplication has been received | 1. | |
| 6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application | Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of t | nis application. THIS THRI | EE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTEND | DABLE |
| 7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi | s reason(s) why the oath or | MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE C declaration is deficient. |)F |
| 8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson | t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review | (PTO-948) attached | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No | | | |
| (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing co | | has been approved by the Examiner. | |
| (c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's | Amendment / Comment or | in the Office action of Paper No | _• |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in th | 34(c)) should be written on the e margin according to 37 CFF | e drawings in the front (not the back) of $R = 1.121$ | |
| 9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE | it of BIOLOGICAL MATE IE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC | RIAL must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5□ Notice of Infor | mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | i |
| 2 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08). | | mary (PTO-413), Paper No | |
| | | nendment/Comment | |
| Paper No4 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit | | | |
| of Biological Material | 8⊠ Examiner's St 9∏ Other . | atement of Reasons for Allowance | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/654,165

Art Unit: 1722

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

For claims 1-35, the prior art of record fails to teach a first and second array of nozzles and valve pins, a manifold, a first and second actuating assembly for displacing the valve pins of the respective array of nozzles comprising at least one actuator and a common linkage element driven by the actuator and linked to all the valve pins of the respective array of nozzles, and further having the first and second actuating mechanisms operable independently. The closest prior art, McGrevy, has a common actuator that drives both actuating mechanisms, thus the mechanisms could not operate independently.

For claims 38-40, the prior art of record fails to teach actuators located between or centrally located in the injection nozzle, the actuator located under the manifold. The term "under" is in relation to the actuator being between the manifold and the tip of the nozzle. The orientation of the array of injection nozzles is with the manifold on top of the nozzles. Gellert and Diaz fails to teach an actuator located in between the injection nozzles for actuating the linking element, instead the actuator is located either to the side or if located centrally within the nozzles, the actuator would be positioned "above" the nozzles.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/654,165 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 to 4 and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

EL

JOSEPH DRODGE
PRIMARY EXAMINES